

the claims of the present application.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 6-7 for obviousness in view of Su and Chan is improper and should be withdrawn.

Further, applicants request that the finality of the present office action be withdrawn. Pursuant to Manual of Patent Examining Procedure ("MPEP") 706.07, a final office action is proper, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is not necessitated by applicants' amendment of the claims. In the prior office action (dated August 29, 2006), the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") rejected claims 6-7 as being anticipated by Su. In the present office action, the PTO has now rejected the claims as obvious over Su and an improper reference (i.e. the reference is not prior art). This new ground of rejection was not necessitated by applicants amendment of the claims. Accordingly, applicants respectfully request that the finality of the present office action be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, applicants submit that this case is in condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

January 21, 2008
Date

/karla m weyand/
Karla M. Weyand
Registration No. 40,223

Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc
511 Benedict Ave
Tarrytown, New York 15091
Tel: 914-524-2741